Racial/ethnic disparities in antibiotic use and healthcare utilization, 2016/2018: a cross-sectional study

Scott W. Olesen, PhD¹, Sanjat Kanjilal, MD MPH², Stephen M. Kissler, PhD¹, Daphne S. Sun, BS¹, Yonatan H. Grad, MD PhD^{1*}

- 1 Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA
- 2 Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School & Harvard Pilgrim Healthcare Institute, Boston, MA
- * Correspondence: ygrad@hsph.harvard.edu

ABSTRACT

Prescribing practice varies by patient race/ethnicity, usually with whites more likely to receive antibiotics or broader-spectrum antibiotics. However, the drivers of this disparity, and to what extent it represents antibiotic overuse or underprescribing of appropriate antibiotic treatment, remains unclear. Here, we investigate how antibiotic prescribing appropriateness varies by race/ethnicity and whether disparities in antibiotic use can be explained by differing rates of healthcare utilization. In data from two nationally representative healthcare utilization surveys, we found that racial/ethnic disparities in numbers of healthcare visits, not prescribers' behavior, better explained disparities in antibiotic prescribing rates. We also found that the proportion of antibiotic prescriptions that were appropriate, potentially appropriate, or inappropriate did not vary significantly by race/ethnicity. These results suggest that whites' higher antibiotic use is due primarily to increased healthcare utilization and that whites' higher antibiotic use represents a mix of greater appropriate and inappropriate use. Thus, antibiotic stewardship goals should be informed by research into differing rates of antibiotic-treatable disease and healthcare seeking across different populations, to ensure that efforts to reduce inappropriate antibiotic overuse do not also reduce appropriate use in underserved populations.

MAIN TEXT

Prescribing practice varies by patient race/ethnicity, usually with whites more likely to receive antibiotics or broader-spectrum antibiotics. However, the drivers of this disparity remain unclear. While antibiotics are critical for treating infectious disease, their use carries risk of adverse events and antibiotic resistance, so efforts to reduce elevated inappropriate use are important. Underuse of antibiotics when they are medically indicated is also problematic, and so addressing this disparity may in fact require increased appropriate antibiotic use for certain populations, rather than only decreasing inappropriate use.

Here, we investigate how antibiotic prescribing appropriateness varies by race/ethnicity and whether disparities in antibiotic use can be explained by differing rates of healthcare utilization. Most studies on racial/ethnic disparities in antibiotic prescribing have focused on the role of the prescriber's behavior, but recent work⁵ examining antibiotic use rates for childhood respiratory diseases in Massachusetts found that geographical disparities in antibiotic use were more likely

due to differing numbers of healthcare visits rather than to prescribers' behavior. Earlier work¹ measured rates of healthcare utilization and antibiotic prescribing by race but did not address antibiotic appropriateness or numbers of visits.

We analyzed data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey and National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, two nationally representative samples used to characterize antibiotic prescribing practice.⁴ Each survey is a sample of outpatient healthcare visits, each associated with patient demographic data, diagnosis codes, and prescriptions. We used the two most recent years with data from both surveys, 2016 and 2018. Visits with oral antibiotics were identified⁴ and antibiotic use was classified as appropriate, potentially appropriate, or inappropriate^{4,6} (Supplemental Methods).

During 2016 and 2018, rates of outpatient healthcare visits varied by race/ethnicity, with non-Hispanic whites making the most visits per capita, followed by non-Hispanic Blacks, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic people of multiple or other races (Table). Rates of visits with antibiotics prescribed followed the same trend, but the proportion of visits with antibiotics prescribed (5.5% 95% confidence interval 4.9% to 6.0%) did not vary significantly by race/ethnicity (p = 0.57, χ^2 test). Racial/ethnic disparities in numbers of visits explained 100% of the disparity in antibiotic prescribing rates for Blacks relative to whites, 78% of the disparity for Hispanics, and 69% of the disparity for people of multiple or other races. The proportion of visits with antibiotic prescriptions that were appropriate, potentially appropriate, or inappropriate did not vary significantly by race/ethnicity (p = 0.19, χ^2 test).

These results imply (1) that whites' higher antibiotic use is due primarily to increased healthcare utilization and (2) that whites' higher antibiotic use represents a mix of both greater per capita appropriate use and greater per capita inappropriate use. The key advantage of this study is that it uses a nationally representative survey intended for analyzing healthcare utilization and prescribing practice by covariates such as race/ethnicity.

This study's key limitation is that it only addresses rates of healthcare utilization, not rates of underlying disease, which precludes this study from determining whether whites' higher rate of appropriate antibiotic use represents lower rates of antibiotic-appropriate disease incidence or lower rates of appropriate antibiotic use among other races/ethnicities. For example, if Covid-19's disproportionate impact on Black and Hispanic populations were mirrored in antibiotic-treatable diseases, then Blacks' and Hispanics' lower antibiotic use rates represent a lower proportion of patients with antibiotic-treatable disease in these populations receiving needed care. Thus, antibiotic stewardship goals should be informed by research into differing rates of antibiotic-treatable disease and healthcare seeking across different populations.

STATEMENTS

Competing interests

SWO is an employee of Biobot Analytics, Inc. YHG consults for GSK, holds grants from Pfizer and Merck, and serves on the scientific advisory board for Day Zero Diagnostics.

Funding

YHG: Wellcome Trust Award 219812/Z/19/Z and internal Harvard T. H. Chan School award.

Data availability and ethics

Source data are openly available from the National Center for Health Statistics (https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health_Statistics/NCHS/Datasets, https://www.cdc.gov/nchs).

Table. Rates of healthcare utilization and antibiotic prescribing by race/ethnicity. Ranges represent 95% confidence intervals. All rates for non-whites differ significantly from rates for whites (t-test, p < 0.001).

	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic Other
Annual no. of visits, rate per 100,000 population	7022 (6641 to 7404)	5497 (4944 to 6049)	5491 (4672 to 6309)	4202 (3165 to 5239)
With an antibiotic prescription	400	330	294	203
	(341 to 459)	(266 to 395)	(229 to 360)	(140 to 267)
With an appropriate antibiotic prescription	62 (48 to 75)	70 (50 to 89)	45 (26 to 64)	*
With a potentially appropriate antibiotic prescription	141	107	109	84
	(110 to 172)	(77 to 136)	(70 to 148)	(41 to 127)
With an inappropriate antibiotic prescription	197	148	140	96
	(158 to 236)	(104 to 192)	(102 to 178)	(55 to 138)

^{*} Value not reported because relative standard error > 30%

SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Identifying visits with antibiotics

A visit was classified as an antibiotic visit if any of the visit's prescribed medicines included any of: penicillins (Multum Lexicon therapeutic category code 013), cephalosporins (009), macrolide derivatives (011), quinolones (014), lincomycin derivatives (240), sulfonamides (015), tetracyclines (016), urinary antiinfectives (017), oxazolidinone antibiotics (486), or amebicides (e.g., metronidazole; 002).

Classifying antibiotic appropriateness

Following the general methodology of Fleming-Dutra et al.⁴, who classified ICD-9 diagnostic codes into Tier 1 ("antibiotics are almost always indicated"), Tier 2 ("antibiotics may be indicated"), and Tier 3 ("antibiotics are not indicated or the indication was unclear"), Chua et al.⁶ classified ICD-10 codes as antibiotic "appropriate", "potentially appropriate", or "inappropriate". More appropriate codes are given priority in classifying the visit. For example, a visit with at least one appropriate code is classified as appropriate.

Attributing disparity in antibiotic use attributable to disparity in numbers of visits We generally follow the general approach of Kissler et al.⁵:

- 1. For each race/ethnicity r, compute the rate of annual visits V_r , rats of annual visits with antibiotics prescribed A_r , and proportion of visits with antibiotics prescribed $P_r = A_r / V_r$.
- 2. Compute the antibiotic prescribing rate $A_r' = V_r \times p_{ref}$ that would be expected if race/ethnicity r had the same proportion of visits with antibiotics prescribed as the reference race (whites).
- 3. Compute the proportion of disparity explained 1 $(A_0 A_r) / (A_0 A_r)$

Implementation

All analyses were performed using R (version 4.0.5). The R package *survey* (version 4.0) was used to account for the complex survey design in variance estimation. Code to reproduce these analyses is available at github (https://github.com/gradlab/abx-race-2021; doi: 10.5281/zenodo.5739297).

Supplemental References

- R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/
- Lumley T. Analysis of Complex Survey Samples. J Stat Softw. 2004;9(8).

REFERENCES

- 1. Halasa NB, Griffin MR, Zhu Y, Edwards KM. Differences in antibiotic prescribing patterns for children younger than five years in the three major outpatient settings. *J Pediatrics*. 2004;144(2):200-205. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2003.10.053
- 2. Fleming-Dutra KE, Shapiro DJ, Hicks LA, Gerber JS, Hersh AL. Race, Otitis Media, and Antibiotic Selection. Pediatrics. 2014;134(6):1059-1066. doi:10.1542/peds.2014-1781
- 3. Olesen SW, Grad YH. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Antimicrobial Drug Use, United States, 2014–2015 Volume 24, Number 11—November 2018 Emerging Infectious Diseases journal CDC. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2018;24(11):2126-2128. doi:10.3201/eid2411.180762
- 4. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. *JAMA*. 2016;315(17):1864-1873. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.4151
- 5. Kissler SM, Klevens RM, Barnett ML, Grad YH. Childhood respiratory outpatient visits correlate with socioeconomic status and drive geographic patterns in antibiotic prescribing. *J Infect Dis.* Published online 2021. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiab218
- 6. Chua KP, Fischer MA, Linder JA. Appropriateness of outpatient antibiotic prescribing among privately insured US patients: ICD-10-CM based cross sectional study. *Bmj*. 2018;364:k5092. doi:10.1136/bmj.k5092